

1

MCRC-19962-2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL ON THE 15th OF OCTOBER, 2025

MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 19962 of 2019

MEHENDRA SINGH

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

Appearance:

Shri Umakant Sharma -Senior Advocate with Shri Amit Tiwari, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri Madan Singh- Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Shri Gitesh Singh Thakur-G.A. for the respondent/State.

ORDER

This MCRC has been filed by the applicant under Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C. for cancellation of order dated 30.08.2018 passed in M.Cr.C.No. 32617/2018.

- 2. Learned senior counsel for the applicant submits that respondent No.2 is habitual offender and number of cases are pending against him. It is urged that applicant has lodged a written report to SP to the effect that respondent No.2 is pressurizing and threatening applicant not to give evidence against him. On above grounds, it is urged that petition filed by the applicant be allowed.
- 3. Learned counsel for the respondent/State submits that respondent No.2 is having criminal antecedents but he fairly admitted that trial is almost over and all witnesses have been examined and only I.O. remains to be cross-examined.
- 4. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that in the instant case, trial is almost over and all witnesses have been examined and only I.O. remains to be cross-examined. On above grounds, it is urged that petition filed

MCRC-19962-2019

2

by the applicant be dismissed.

- 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 6. Applicant has filed written complaint to SP with respect to threatening and pressurizing the witnesses against respondent No.2 on 06.05.2019. Perusal of the case diary reveals that complaint filed by the applicant was inquired and it was found that no threat of pressure has been exerted on applicant to change the evidence. Present petition is pending since 2019. As per submission of respondent No.2, all witnesses have been examined and only I.O. remains to be cross-examined.
 - 7. In view of aforesaid, petition filed by the the applicant is **dismissed**.

(ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL) JUDGE

L.R.