THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

CONC No. 832of 2015

(BHOPAL GAS PEEDITH MAHILA UDYOG & OTHERS Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH & OTHERS)

Dated: 28/11/2023

Shri N.D. Jaiprakash – Advocate for the petitioner No.2 in person.

Shri Vikram Singh – Advocate for respondents No.1, 2, 5, 6 & 7.

Shri H.S. Ruprah – Additional Advocate General with Shri S.K. Shrivastava – Government Advocate for respondent/State.

Shri M.K. Rajak and Shri Sanjay Sarwate – Advocates for respondents No.8 & 9.

Shri Anshuman Singh – Advocate for Monitoring Committee.

1. This Court by order dated 03.02.2023 held that *prima facie* respondents No.8 & 9 who are functionaries of National Informatics Centre, are held liable for causing contempt of Apex Court order dated 09.08.2012 [Para 35(9)] which directed the Monitoring Committee to ensure digitization of records of BMHRC as well as the attached Government hospitals.

1.1 This Court as a necessary consequence directed the Registry to draw charges under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (for brevity "Act of 1971") against respondents No.8 & 9 and invite their responses to the same.

1.2 Charges were drawn by Registry against respondents No.8 & 9.

1.3 Respondents No.8 & 9 filed their respective reply.

1.4 When the case was taken up on the next date i.e. 20.02.2023, replies to the contempt charges against respondents No.8 & 9 were taken on record. This Court rejecting the distinction being drawn by respondents No.8 & 9 between the concept of computerization and digitization by recording its dismay that despite elapse of more than ten and a half years, process of

digitization has not yet concluded. As such, directions were passed to Registry to draw charges of contempt against respondent No.4 who was responsible for completing the process of computerization/digitization.

1.5 Accordingly, Registry drew up charges against respondent No.4.

1.6 On the next date of hearing i.e. 21.03.2023, this Court looking to the various contentions and counter contentions of rival parties took assistance of the Monitoring Committee to submit a report on the following issues :-

"(i) Whether the directions passed by the Apex Court in all sub paras of Para 35.17 of order dated 09.08.2012 in WP (C) No.50/1998 have been complied with or not?
(ii) The Committee is also required to detail the extent of compliance in cases where partial compliance has been made.
(iii) The Committee is further requested to prima facie fix liability for non compliance on any of the parties in this contempt and WP, so as to assist this Court to take decision as regards contempt.
(iv) The Monitoring Committee is requested to file report as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months."

1.7 On next date of hearing i.e. 12.06.2023, this Court adjourned the matter awaiting report of the Monitoring committee.

1.8 Thereafter, when the case was taken up on 11.07.2023, report of the Monitoring Committee was placed on record and the same was perused. This Court however took cognizance of issue of deficiency of staff with the Monitoring Committee, and thus passed appropriate directions. Besides, this Court also directed Secretary Health, Government of India to cure all the deficiencies pointed out by the Monitoring Committee in its report as regards deficiency in staff in BMHRC failing which this Court may be compelled to take coercive steps.

1.9. Thereafter, this matter come up on 23.08.2023 but could not be taken up due to paucity of time.

1.10. On the next hearing on 31.08.2023, the case was directed to be listed before a Bench of a different composition.

1.11. On 09.11.2023, the matter was again taken up but no order was passed

in this Contempt Petition except that the same be tagged alongwith W.P. No.15658/2012.

1.12. On 28.11.2023, this Contempt Petition was again taken up and report of the Monitoring Committee dated 05.07.2023 submitted in compliance of the order of this Court dated 21.03.2023 was minutely perused.

1.13. The report indicated the following glaring deficiencies in compliance of various directions of Apex Court and of this Court :-

S.No.	SC Directions	Compliance Report		
1.		Not complied with to the extent of not providing the requisite coordinator despite directions of this Court passed on 11.07.2023 and also failing to provide Stenographer.		
2.	Government to oversee proper functioning of hospitals dealing with gas victims [Para 35(6) of	Not complied by Medical Council and State Government to the extent of their responsibilities towards the gas victims who are treated in private hospitals as per response of the State Government is rather vague and not specific on this aspect.		
3.	research work to be carried out and also to ensure disbursement of its complete benefit to gas	e Partially complied to the extent that since its inception on 11 th October 2022, ICMR-NIREH has completed 14 different research studies among the survivors of Gas Tragedy however whether it has benefitted the gas victims require some expert assessment and scrutiny.		
4.	Monitoring Committee to operationalize- (i) Computerization of medical information. (ii) Publication of health booklets. (iii) Preparation of smart cards to be provided to gas victims. [Para 35(9) of Apex Court order dated 09.08.2012].	 computerized within the said duration but the digitization of medical records was not done as it was not handed over to NIC. (ii) Publication of Health Booklets – 4 Lakhs health 		

5.	Appointment of doctors of	gas vic respons 92978 prepare booklet the g majorit around given h (iii) Iss the vic BMHR cards h victims house conduc but the	s have been su gas victims. y of gas vict 4.6 lakhs have ealth booklets. suance of smart tims- As per react tims- As per react c, about 3,41,0 ave been issued and for remain to house campa ted for distribution efforts proved fut	s per the overnment ve been 1,10,000 pplied to However, ims, i.e. not been t card to sponse of 00 smart to the gas ing cards ign were on thereof tile.
	different categories and staff in hospitals and allied departments.	specialists/doc	tors/staff in all	
	[Para 35(10) of Apex Court order	-	State Governmen	
	dated 09.08.2012].	department Rehabilitation	of Gas Reas well as BN	elief & AHRC as
		enumerated be		line us
			vacancy in hosp of State Govern	
		Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
		Class I	89	41
		Class II	157	65
		Class III	601	266
		Class IV	400	126
		TOTAL	1247	498
		(ii) Regardi Specialists :-	ng vacancies o	of Super
		Cadre	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
		Associate Professor (Gastroenterology)	1	1
		Associate Professor (Opthalmology)	1	1

	Consultant cardio thoracic Surgery	1	1
	Medicine		
	(ICU) Consultant	1	1
1	Assistant Professor (ICU)	3	2
	Assistant Professor (Neurology)	1	1
	(Gastroenterology surgical)		
	Assistant Professor	1	1
ŀ	Assistant Professor (Dialysis Unit)	1	
	Assistant Professor (Radiology)	2	
	Assistant Professor (Pathology)	3	
	(Pediatric Medicine)		
	Assistant Professor	1	
	Assistant Professor (Opthalmology)	1	
	Assistant Professor (Gastroenterology)	1	
	Professor (Psychiatric)		
	Professor (ICU) Associate	1	
	(Neurology) Associate	1	
	Associate Professor	1	
	Professor (Nephrology)		
	Surgical) Associate	1	
	Professor (Gastroenterology		
	Associate	1	
	Professor (Radiology)	1	
	(Pathology) Associate	1	
	Associate Professor	1	
	Associate Professor (Pediatric)		

(iii) Regarding Vacancies of Specialists

:-		
Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
Medical Specialist	7	5
Surgical Specialist	4	3
Radiologist	4	4
Opthalmologist	6	0
Gynecologist	10	2
Pathologist	5	3
Anesthetics	5	3
Psychiatric	1	1
Pediatric	9	3
TOTAL	51	24

(iv) Regarding vacancies in Hospital wise Staff Position :-

(A) KAMLA NEHRU HOSPITAL			
Category Sanctioned Post Vac			
Class I	28	14	
Class II	40	23	
Class III	146	61	
Class IV 20 06		06	
TOTAL	234	104	

(B) JAWAHAR LAL NEHRU HOSPITAL			
Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant	
Class I	11	08	
Class II	23	06	
Class III	82	34	
Class IV	103	41	
TOTAL	219	89	

(C) INDIRA GANDHI MAHILA AVAM BALYA CHIKITSALAYA			
Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant	
Class I	13	07	
Class II	42	20	
Class III	130	55	
Class IV	106	31	
TOTAL	291	113	

	(D) PULMONARY MEDICINE CENTRE (PMC)		RE (PMC)
	Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
	Class I	12	10
	Class II	14	03
	Class III	75	39
	Class IV	28	12
	TOTAL	129	64
		IR ALI KHAN HOSPIT	1
	Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
	Class I	11	06
	Class II	14	03
	Class III	44	27
	Class IV	97	45
	TOTAL	166	81
	(F) MASTE	ER LAL SINGH HOSPI	таі
	Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
	Class I	08	07
	Class II	06	03
	Class III	45	26
	Class IV	42	27
	TOTAL	101	63
	(G) BHOPAL MEMORIAL HOSPITAL AN RESEACH CENTRE		AL AND
	Category	Sanctioned Post	Vacant
	Class I	17	4
	Class II	13	13
	Class III	22	07
	Class IV	16	11
	Medical Officer	33	1
	TOTAL	101	36
6.	though propo- with AIMS ha been impleme become a tea Opthalmology courses have clear that BM fully function	nted. Though BM ching institute o	BMHRC as not ye IHRC ha only DNE naesthesia far. It is become a l teaching

		direction has been made.
7.	Monitoring Committee to evolve a methodology of common referral system. [Para 35(17) of	Not complied to the extent that the referral system of BMHRC as well as hospitals run by Gas Relief & Rehabilitation is not satisfactory and deserves streamlining and strict follow up.
8.	aid of Advisory Committee, NIREH and the specialized doctors of BMHRC to issue standardized protocol for treating each category of ailment suffered by gas victims. [Para 35(18) of	Partially complied with to the extent that ICMR/NIREH has issued two treatment protocols that are :- (a) Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. (b) Manual of Mental Health Care for Medical Officers of Bhopal. However, so far as other diseases of gas victims is concerned, such treatment protocols and training programmes have not been shown to be conducted.

2. From the aforesaid tabular illustration revealed from report of p Monitoring Committee, it is obvious that despite elapse of more than ten and a half years, respondents have demonstrated no urgency or sincerity in complying with directions of Apex Court as well as of this Court. Thereby leaving the gas victims in the lurch. The respondents have tried their level best to render the concept of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) nugatory by dragging their feet in the process of compliance. This Court does not see any good reason behind the laxity on the part of respondents except respondents' insensitivity towards gas victims.

3. Accordingly, this Court is compelled to take coercive steps against respondents/proposed contemnors.

4. The responses filed by respondents No.4, 8 & 9 to the charges framed against them under the Act of 1971 are on record and have been found to be unsatisfactory.

5. Accordingly, respondents No.4, 8 & 9 are *prima facie* found guilty of causing contempt of Apex Court as well as of this Court and therefore,

respondents No.4, 8 & 9 are called upon to submit their explanation as regards quantum of punishment under the Act of 1971.

6. As regards the remaining contemnors, Registry is directed to frame charges against them under the Act of 1971 inviting the said remaining contemnors to file their reply which shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

7. List the matter on **16.01.2024**.

(Sheel Nagu) Judge (Devnarayan Mishra) Judge