## HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

#### MCRC.No.19135/2020

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

**Gwalior, Dated: 03.07.2020** 

Shri Aijaj Gouri, learned counsel for the applicant.

Shri Vijay Sundram, learned Panel Lawyer for the State.

In the wake of unprecedented and uncertain situation due to outbreak of the Novel Corona virus (COVID-19) and considering the advisories issued by the Government of India, this application has been heard and decided through video conferencing to maintain social distancing. The parties are being represented by the respective counsel through video conferencing, following the norms of social distancing/physical distancing in letter and spirit.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The applicant has filed this first application u/S. 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail. The applicant has been arrested on 26.01.2020 by Police Station Jhansiroad, District Gwalior (M.P.) in connection with Crime No.34/2020 registered in relation to the offence punishable u/Ss. 392 of IPC and Section 11/13 of MPDVPK Act.

It is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter. He has not committed any offence in any manner. The investigation is over in the matter and the charge-sheet has been filed. The applicant is in custody since 26.01.2020 and there is no further requirement of custodial interrogation of the present applicant. The applicant is ready to abide

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

by all the terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court while considering his application for grant of bail. He has also shown his willingness to contribute an amount of Rs.5000/- towards the PM Care Fund during this COVID 2019 pandemic scenario. Under these circumstances, counsel for the applicant prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

Per contra, learned Panel Lawyer for the State has opposed the bail application stating that the applicant is a habitual offender and there are two other criminal cases registered against the present applicant, but he fairly submits that they are the petty offences. Filing of the charge-sheet is not disputed by the counsel for the State.

The Hon'ble Supreme by order dated 23.03.2020 passed in the case of IN RE: CONTAGION OF COVID 19 VIRUS IN PRISONS in SUO MOTU W.P. (C) No.1/2020 has directed all the States to constitute a High Level Committee to consider the release of prisoners in order to decongest the prisons. The Supreme Court has observed as under:-

"The issue of overcrowding of prisons is a matter of serious concern particularly in the present context of the pandemic of Corona Virus (COVID – 19).

Having regard to the provisions of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, it has become imperative to ensure that the spread of the Corona Virus within the prisons is controlled. We direct that each

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

State/Union Territory shall constitute a High Powered Committee comprising of (i) Chairman of the State Legal Services Committee, (ii) the Principal Secretary (Home/Prison) by whatever designation is known as, (ii) Director General of Prison(s), to determine which class of prisoners can be released on parole or an interim bail for such period as may be thought appropriate. For instance, the State/Union Territory could consider the release of prisoners who have been convicted or are under trial for offences for which prescribed punishment is up to 7 years or less, with or without fine and the prisoner has been convicted for a lesser number of years than the maximum.

It is made clear that we leave it open for the High Powered Committee to determine the category of prisoners who should be released as aforesaid, depending upon the nature of offence, the number of years to which he or she has been sentenced or the severity of the offence with which he/she is charged with and is facing trial or any other relevant factor, which the Committee may consider appropriate."

Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case as well as considering the Covid-19 pandemic scenario, this Court deems it appropriate to allow this application.

The application is allowed. The applicant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing his personal bond in the sum of

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) with one solvent surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigation Officer/trial Court, as the case may be with submission of written undertaking and the applicant will abide by all terms and conditions of the different circulars, orders as well as guidelines issued by the Central Government, State Government as well as Local Administration for maintaining social distancing, hygiene etc to avoid Novel Corona Virus (COVID -19) pandemic and he will have to install **Arogya Setu App**, if not already installed.

This order will remain operative subject to compliance of the following conditions by the applicant:-

- 1. The applicant will comply with all the terms and conditions of the bond executed by him;
- 2. The applicant will cooperate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;
- 3. The applicant will not indulge himself in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as the case may be;
- 4. The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused.
- 5. The applicant will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

trial; and

- 6. The applicant will not leave India without previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be.
- 7. The applicant shall deposit Rs.5000/- in PM CARE Fund having Account Number: 2121PM20202, IFSC Code: SBIN0000691, SWIFT Code: SBININBB104, Name of Bank & Branch: State Bank of India, New Delhi Main Branch within seven days from today.
- 8. The applicant will inform the concerned S.H.O. of concerned Police Station about his residential address in the said area and it would be the duty of the Panel Lawyer to send **E-copy** of this order to SHO of concerned police station as well as concerned Superintendent of Police who shall inform the concerned SHO regarding the same.

Application stands allowed.

In view of the COVID-19, jail authorities are directed that before releasing the applicant, medical examination of applicant shall be undertaken by the jail doctor and on prima facie, if it is found that he is having the symptoms of COVID-19, then consequential follow up action including the isolation/quarantine or any test if required, be ensured, otherwise applicant shall be released immediately on bail and shall be given a pass or permit for movement to reach his place of residence.

6

# HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

#### MCRC.No.19135/2020

(Mustak Beg @ Bablu Vs. State of M.P.)

**E-copy** of this order be provided to the applicant and E-copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for compliance. It is made clear that E-copy of this order shall be treated as certified copy for practical purposes in respect of this order.

(Vishal Mishra) Judge

AK/-