



1

WP-10290-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

&

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VINAY SARAF

ON THE 20th OF MARCH, 2025

WRIT PETITION No. 10290 of 2025

SMT. YUKTI MORE

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

.....
Appearance:

Shri Rohan Harne - Advocate for petitioner.

*Shri Yogesh Dhande - Government Advocate for respondent
No.1/State.*

*Shri Anshuman Singh - Standing Counsel for respondent No.2/High
Court.*

.....
WITH

WRIT PETITION No. 10336 of 2025

SHRI ROHIL TIGGA

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

.....
Appearance:

Shri Rohan Harne - Advocate for petitioner.

*Shri Yogesh Dhande - Government Advocate for respondent
No.1/State.*

*Shri Anshuman Singh - Standing Counsel for respondent
No.2/High Court.*

.....



ORDER

Per. Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva

Petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to consider the original documents submitted by the respondents after qualifying the preliminary examination.

2. Respondent No.2 had advertised the vacancies for the post of Junior Judicial Translator on 18.09.2024. Several candidates applied and appeared in the preliminary examination. The result of the examination was declared on 25.02.2025, thereafter, the candidates were required to submit their original documents for verification by 10.03.2025. As per the petitioner, the documents of petitioner in W.P. No.10290 of 2025 were submitted one day late and were received on 11.03.2025. Insofar as petitioner in W.P.No.10336 of 2025 is concerned the original documents were sent by post and as per the records of the respondents were received on 11.03.2025. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that postal authorities have reported that the documents were delivered on 10.03.2025.

3. The documents are to be verified for the purposes of testing the eligibility of the candidates for participating in the mains examination which is scheduled to be held on 23.03.2025.

4. The notification dated 17.03.2025 published by the respondent No.2 shows that not only the petitioner but there are total 18 in-eligible candidates. Original documents of seven candidates were found to be not compliant and original documents of four candidates are stated to have been received on 11.03.2025 and documents of two candidates were received on 12.03.2025



and five candidates have not submitted their documents. The purpose of submission of original documents is only for testing the eligibility for participation in the mains examination. Copies of these documents have already been submitted with the respondents.

3. The contention of learned counsel for petitioner in W.P.No.10290 of 2025 is that on account of the fact that she is in an advance stage of pregnancy, the documents could not be submitted on time. Her medical record also shows that she has been examined by the Doctor on 11.03.2025.

4. Further, the contention of learned counsel for petitioner in W.P.No.10336 of 2025 is that the tracking report shows that the documents were received by the High Court on 10.03.2025.

5. Keeping in view of these peculiar facts, we are of the view that the original documents submitted by the petitioners should be examined by the High Court for the purposes of testing their eligibility for the mains examination. Since there are other similar situated candidates whose documents have been received late, we are of the view that similar opportunity should be granted to the candidates whose documents have been received late i.e. the candidates at serial number 13 to 18 in the verification list dated 17.03.2025. Further, we note that there are five candidates, who have not submitted the documents by the deadline. Since we are directing the respondent no.2 to consider the documents that have been submitted late, we deem it expedient to also grant an opportunity to candidates at serial number 8 to 12 to submit their original documents to the respondents by 4 p.m. on 21.03.2025. Respondent No.2 shall upload a copy of this order on the High



Court website.

6. It is clarified that this Court is only considering the extension of time for submission of the documents. Respondent No.2 would scrutinize the documents and verify the eligibility of the candidates in accordance with the original advertisement and subject to verification of the documents, eligible candidates shall be permitted to participate in the mains examination.

7. These petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

8. C.C. today.

(SANJEEV SACHDEVA)
JUDGE

(VINAY SARAF)
JUDGE

m/-