

**14.03.2016**

Shri Sankap Kochar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep K. Shukla, Advocate for the respondents/Union of India.

**I.A.No.13516/2015 – for appropriate direction.**

Two reliefs have been claimed in this application.

The main writ petition challenges the decision of the Central Administrative Tribunal whereby the petitioner unsuccessfully challenged the order of termination.

In the present application, first relief is to direct the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner Annexure-A under Rule 41 of the CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 within some time frame. This application is pending since December 2015. Representation has been submitted by the petitioner on 06.05.2015.

We fail to understand as to why the representation has not been taken to its logical end so far. If the issue raised in the representation, according to the Department, is already answered against the petitioner, suitable reply could have been sent to the petitioner within some reasonable time. Even that has not been done by the Department so far.

As a result, we direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to process the representation expeditiously and send suitable reply to the petitioner at-least within four weeks from today.

The second relief claimed by the petitioner is already part

of the representation made by the petitioner Annexure-A. If that representation is decided in favour of the petitioner, either in part or as a whole, the concerned Authority may take follow-up steps for release of gratuity or other monetary benefits payable to the petitioner as per law.

Besides this, nothing more is required to be said in this application.

Application **disposed of** accordingly.

**(A.M. Khanwilkar)**  
**Chief Justice**

**(Sanjay Yadav)**  
**Judge**

shukla