

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR

DIVISION BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)

Daily Cause List dated : 16-09-2021

BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA

Court Room No.: 1

(FOR HYBRID SYSTEM OF PHYSICAL / VIRTUAL HEARING OF THE CASES)

MOTION HEARING

[DIRECTION MATTERS]

| SN | Case No | Petitioner / Respondent | Petitioner/Respondent Advocate |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | WP 14152/2021 (S) | JAGDISH DAMDE | VISHNU DEO SINGH CHAUHAN |

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA

ADVOCATE GENERAL

PRADESH **& Ors.**

SERVICE RELATING TO STATE GOVT.-17100 - Recoveries-17151 - Recoveries-17151

Relief - QUASH THE ORDER DT.30/12/2019(ANN.P-1)

{FIXED DATE (ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER) COVID-19} FOR CONSIDERING THE

FOLLOWING QUESTIONS OF REFERENCE:- (I) WHETHER SECTIONS 89 AND 92 OF M.P. PANCHAYAT RAJ AVAM GRAM SWARAJ ADHINIYAM, 1993 OPERATE IN DIFFERENT FIELDS AND SAID SECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE APPLICABLE FOR

DIFFERENT HEADS OF MONEY AND PROPERTY? (II) WHETHER SECTIONS 89 AND 92 OF M.P. PANCHAYAT RAJ AVAM GRAM SWARAJ ADHINIYAM, 1993 ARE

INDEPENDENT OF EACH OTHER AND EACH SECTION PROVIDES MECHANISM FOR HEARING AND EXECUTION OF ORDER? (III) WHETHER ADJUDICATION UNDER

SECTIONS 89 AND 92 OF THE ACT OF 1993 IS TO BE MADE FOR DIFFERENT HEADS OF MONEY AND PROPERTY MENTIONED IN SECTIONS 89 AND 92 ARE

DIFFERENT? (IV) WHETHER SECTION 92 DOES NOT PROVIDE EXECUTION PROCEEDINGS FOR ORDERS WHICH HAVE PASSED UNDER SECTION 89?FOR

ADMISSION AND I.R.

01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND/OR 227 OF CONSTITUTION

01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND/OR 227 OF CONSTITUTION

01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND/OR 227 OF CONSTITUTION

01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND/OR 227 OF CONSTITUTION

01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND/OR 227 OF CONSTITUTION

TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)

PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)